

Information Retrieval and Processing in Sensor Networks: Deterministic Scheduling vs. Random Access

Min Dong, Lang Tong
 School of Electrical & Computer Engineering
 Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853
 {mdong,ltong}@ece.cornell.edu

Brian M. Sadler
 Army Research Laboratory, Adelphi, MD 20783
 bsadler@arl.army.mil

Abstract — The effect of medium access control (MAC) for information retrieval on signal field reconstruction in large-scale sensor networks with finite density is analyzed. Two MAC schemes are compared: the deterministic scheduling and random access. For fixed sensor density, we show that there is a critical threshold of $e^{-\lambda(1+o(1))}$, where λ is the throughput of the random access protocol, on the sensor outage probability P_{out} beyond which the reconstruction performance of deterministic central scheduling is inferior to that of distributed random access.

I. SUMMARY

For many applications, a sensor network operates in three phases: sensing, information retrieval, and information processing. An appropriate network architecture for such applications is Sensor Networks with Mobile Access (SENMA) [1] which has two types of nodes: simple-functioning sensors randomly deployed in large number and a few powerful mobile access points that communicate with sensors. We focus on the latter two phases in SENMA. Specifically, for a signal field, we examine the effect of MAC for information retrieval on signal field reconstruction in a network with fixed sensor density.

We consider a 1-D field $\mathcal{A} = [0, D]$. The signal of interest $S(x)$ in \mathcal{A} is assumed to be a homogeneous Ornstein-Uhlenbeck field with $S(x) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_s^2)$. We assume that the randomly deployed sensors in \mathcal{A} forms a 1-D homogeneous spatial Poisson field with local density ρ . At a pre-arranged time, all sensors take measurements. The measurement of a sensor at location x is $Y(x) = S(x) + N(x)$, where $N(x) \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_N^2)$. Each sensor then stores its measurement along with the location information in a packet for collection.

Assuming slotted transmissions in a collision channel, we consider deterministic scheduling π_D and random access π_R for information retrieval within M slots of collection time. In π_D , when there exist sensors everywhere, schedule M equally-spaced sensors locations to transmit data is optimal [2]. For finite sensor density, to avoid scheduled locations void of sensors, the scheduler π_D enables a resolution interval of length ϵ centered at each desired location and collects one packet from each interval. If there are no sensors in a resolution interval, we say a sensor outage occurs. For the target probability of sensor outage P_{out} , the smallest interval length ϵ should satisfy

$$P_{\text{out}} = P[N(\epsilon) = 0] = e^{-\rho\epsilon}. \quad (1)$$

In π_R , in contrast, sensors contend to access the channel with equal priority. The origins of received packets are random.

Assume M_o packets from distinct sensors are received within M slots of collection time. Let $\mathbf{p}_{M_o} = \{P_1, \dots, P_{M_o}\}$ be the locations of the received packets. We estimate $S(x)$ at x using its two immediate neighbor samples by the MMSE smoothing. Let $\text{SNR} \triangleq \sigma_s^2 / \sigma_N^2$. Given \mathbf{p}_{M_o} , we define the maximum field reconstruction distortion by

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{p}_{M_o}, \text{SNR}) \triangleq \max_{x \in \mathcal{A}} E\{|\hat{S}(x) - S(x)|^2 \mid \mathbf{p}_{M_o}\} \quad (2)$$

where $\hat{S}(x)$ is the estimate of $S(x)$. The expected maximum distortion with a MAC is given by

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}(M, \text{SNR}) \triangleq E\{\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{p}_{M_o}, \text{SNR})\} \quad (3)$$

where the expectation is taken over locations \mathbf{p}_{M_o} for $M_o = m$ and sample size M_o .

To compare the signal reconstruction distortion of the two MAC schemes, we define the ratio of excess distortion of π_R to that of π_D as

$$r \triangleq \frac{\bar{\mathcal{E}}_R(M, \text{SNR}) - \bar{\mathcal{E}}_R(\infty, \text{SNR})}{\bar{\mathcal{E}}_D(M, \text{SNR}) - \bar{\mathcal{E}}_D(\infty, \text{SNR})} \quad (4)$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_R(\infty, \text{SNR})$ and $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_D(\infty, \text{SNR})$ are the limiting distortions for a given SNR, defined by $\bar{\mathcal{E}}(\infty, \text{SNR}) \triangleq \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\mathcal{E}}(M, \text{SNR})$, which can be shown to be the same for π_D and π_R . The relative performance of π_R and π_D , therefore, depends on the value of r comparing with 1. The following theorem shows the expression of r and the condition for $r > 1$ (or < 1) in a network with large ρD .

Theorem 1 *Given $P_{\text{out}} > 0$, for all large M , there exist $\bar{N}_o(M) < \infty$, such that for all $\rho D > \bar{N}_o(M)$, the excess distortion ratio r is given by*

$$r = \ln P_{\text{out}}^{-\frac{1}{\lambda(1+o(1))}} \cdot \frac{1 + O(\frac{\ln \ln M}{\ln M})}{1 + O(\frac{\ln \ln M}{\ln M}) + \ln \frac{1}{P_{\text{out}}} O(\frac{1}{\ln M})} \quad (5)$$

where λ is the throughput of π_R . Furthermore, for large enough M , $r > 1$ for $P_{\text{out}} < e^{-\lambda(1+o(1))}$ and $r < 1$ for $P_{\text{out}} > e^{-\lambda(1+o(1))}$.

From (1), the threshold on P_{out} can be translated to the threshold of $\lambda(1+o(1))$ on the expected number of sensors in each resolution interval, $\rho\epsilon$.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Tong, Q. Zhao, and S. Adireddy, "Sensor Networks with Mobile Agents," in *Proc. 2003 Military Communications Intl Symp.*, (Boston, MA), Oct. 2003.
- [2] M. Dong, L. Tong, and B. Sadler, "Impact of MAC design on signal field reconstruction in dense sensor networks." submitted to *IEEE Trans. on Signal Processing*, November 2003.

*This work was supported in part by MURI under the Office of Naval Research Contract N00014-00-1-0564, and ARL-CTA on Communication and Networks under Grant DAAD19-01-2-0011.